

p -HELSON SETS, $1 < p < 2$

BY

MICHAEL B. GREGORY

ABSTRACT

A p -Helson set is defined to be a closed subset E of the circle group T with the property that every continuous function on E can be extended to the full circle in such a way that this extension has its sequence of Fourier coefficients in l^p . For $1 < p < 2$, the union of two such sets is again a p -Helson set. It is shown that the p -Helson sets ($p > 1$) differ from the Helson sets and also that the notion really depends on the index p . An analogue of H. Helson's result is given: a p -Helson set supports no nonzero measure with Fourier-Stieltjes transform in l^q , $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

1. Introduction

A closed subset E of the circle group T is called a Helson set if every continuous function on E is the restriction to E of a function with an absolutely convergent Fourier series. If $M(E)$ denotes the space of complex Borel measures carried by E , this is equivalent to the existence of a positive constant δ such that

$$(1) \quad \|\mu\|_M \leq \delta \|\hat{\mu}\|_\infty, \quad (\mu \in M(E));$$

here $\|\mu\|_M = |\mu|(T)$ is the total variation norm of the measure μ , and $\|\hat{\mu}\|_\infty$ is the l^∞ -norm of the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of μ . Condition (1) makes it plain why the Helson sets are thought of as the continuous analogues of the Sidon sets.

In [8], Rudin introduced a generalization of the Sidon sets, called $\Lambda(p)$ -sets, or p -Sidon sets. In this paper, we will investigate one possible dualization of these p -Sidon sets. More precisely, if $1 \leq p \leq 2$, let $A^p(T)$ stand for the space of continuous complex functions on T whose sequence of Fourier coefficients lie in l^p ; if every continuous function on E is the restriction to E of a function in $A^p(T)$, then we will say that E is a p -Helson set. When $p = 1$, we have the usual Helson

Received November 15, 1971 and in revised form July 19, 1972

sets, whereas when $p = 2$, every closed set satisfies the requirement since every continuous function on T has a square summable sequence of Fourier coefficients. We will therefore confine our attention to the case in which $1 < p < 2$.

Here is an outline of the results. We first give the appropriate analogue of the condition (1) for p -Helson sets. Using it, we prove that a p -Helson set cannot carry a nonzero measure whose Fourier-Stieltjes transform belongs to l^q , where $q = p/(p - 1)$. With a bit more work, we find a characterization of p -Helson which involves the sets of zero measure with respect to a certain subspace of $M(T)$. Finally, we use our necessary and sufficient condition to give examples of p -Helson sets that are not Helson sets, and examples of p_2 -Helson sets that are not p_1 -Helson sets, where $1 < p_1 < p_2 < 2$.

2. $A^p(T)$ and its dual

We norm the space $A^p(T)$ by

$$(2) \quad \|f\| = \max \{ \|f\|_\infty, \|\hat{f}\|_{l^p} \} \quad (f \in A^p(T)).$$

It is easy to see that $A^p(T)$ is then a Banach space. We need to find the dual space of $A^p(T)$ under this norm. To do this, observe that under the sup-norm ($\|f\|_\infty$), $A^p(T)$ is dense in $C(T)$, and that under the l^p -norm ($\|\hat{f}\|_{l^p}$), $A^p(T)$ is dense in l^p . Therefore, the duals of $A^p(T)$ with respect to these two norms are $M(T)$ and l^q , respectively. One can then see that the dual of $A^p(T)$ under the norm (2) can be identified with the product $M(T) \times l^q$, factored by the subspace N of all pairs $(\mu, \hat{\mu})$ with $\mu \in M_q(T)$, where $M_q(T)$ is the set of all μ in $M(T)$ with $\hat{\mu}$ in l^q . That is to say, if Λ is a continuous linear functional on $A^p(T)$, then there exist μ in $M(T)$ and $S = \{d_n\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ in l^q with

$$\Lambda f = \int f d\mu - \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{f}(n) \cdot d_n \quad (f \in A^p(T))$$

and

$$\|\Lambda\| = \inf \{ \|\mu - \lambda\|_M + \|S - \hat{\lambda}\|_{l^q} : \lambda \in M_q(T) \}.$$

We will abbreviate this last infimum by $\|(\mu, S)\|$, and if $S \equiv 0$, we will write $\|\mu\|$, instead of $\|(\mu, 0)\|$.

We can interpret the collection of restrictions of the functions in $A^p(T)$ to a fixed closed set E as a quotient space of $A^p(T)$ in the usual way. If we let I_E^p be the set of all functions in $A^p(T)$ which vanish on E , then the quotient of $A^p(T)$ by

I_E^p , which we denote by $A^p(E)$, is naturally isomorphic to this set of restrictions. Hence, E is a p -Helson set if and only if $A^p(E) = C(E)$.

We can now give the promised analogue of (1).

THEOREM 1. *Let E be a closed subset of T , and $1 \leq p \leq 2$. E is a p -Helson set if and only if there is a positive number δ such that for each $\mu \in M(E)$,*

$$(3) \quad \|\mu\|_M \leq \delta \|\mu\|.$$

PROOF. The linear map $S: A^p(E) \rightarrow C(E)$ defined by $S([f]) = f|_E$ is well-defined, one-to-one and continuous. Clearly, E is a p -Helson set if and only if S is onto $C(E)$. By a classical theorem [5, p. 141], S is onto provided its adjoint S^* has a continuous inverse. Now, the adjoint $S^*: M(E) \rightarrow A^p(E)^*$ is given by $S^*\mu = (\mu, 0)$ for every μ in $M(E)$. Therefore, (3) is seen to be the statement that S^* has a continuous inverse. Conversely, if $A^p(E) = C(E)$, then the adjoint S^* is onto $A^p(E)^*$, is one-to-one and continuous. Thus, by the Open Mapping Theorem, there is a $\delta > 0$ for which (3) holds. □

3. Measures carried by p -Helson sets

From now on, we will take p with $1 < p < 2$, and let q be its conjugate exponent, $q = p/(p - 1)$. We want to investigate the consequences of the following condition on a closed set E : There is a positive constant δ such that

$$(4) \quad \|\mu\|_M \leq \delta \cdot \|\hat{\mu}\|_{l^q} \quad (\mu \in M_q(E)),$$

where $M_q(E) = M_q(T) \cap M(E)$. This condition must be satisfied if E is p -Helson, because of Theorem 1 and the simple fact that $\|\mu\| \leq \|\hat{\mu}\|_{l^q}$, if $\mu \in M_q(T)$. We will show that (4) cannot hold for any set E unless $M_q(E)$ is zero.

THEOREM 2. *Let E be a closed subset of T and $2 < q < \infty$. If $M_q(E)$ is nonzero, then*

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|\mu\|_M}{\|\hat{\mu}\|_{l^q}} : \mu \in M_q(E), \mu \neq 0 \right\} = +\infty.$$

For the proof, we need the following lemmas, the first of which is proved in [5, p. 143].

LEMMA 1. *Let c_1, \dots, c_k be given complex numbers, and consider the random variable*

$$\pm c_1 \pm \dots \pm c_k.$$

where the \pm 's are chosen randomly, each with probability $\frac{1}{2}$, and independently of one another. If E denotes the expected value, then

$$E(|\pm c_1 \pm \dots \pm c_k|) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|c_1|^2 + \dots + |c_k|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

LEMMA 2. Let γ be in $M_q(T)$, $2 < q < \infty$. To each $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, there corresponds a positive integer N such that whenever n_1, \dots, n_k are integers which satisfy $|n_i - n_j| > 2N$ when $i \neq j$, then for every choice of \pm , the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of the measure

$$d\gamma_k(t) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \pm e^{in_j t} \right) d\gamma(t)$$

satisfies $\|\hat{\gamma}_k\|_{l^q} \leq c \cdot k^{1/q} \cdot \|\hat{\gamma}\|_{l^q}$, where $c = 2$.

PROOF. Let $\gamma \in M_q(T)$, and k be given. Choose N so large that

$$(5) \quad \left(\sum_{|n| > N} |\hat{\gamma}(n)|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq k^{1/q-1} \|\hat{\gamma}\|_{l^q}.$$

Let the integers n_1, \dots, n_k be given with $|n_i - n_j| > 2N$, if $i \neq j$. Put

$$d\gamma^0(t) = \left(\sum_{n=-N}^N \hat{\gamma}(n) e^{int} \right) dt$$

and $d\gamma' = d\gamma - d\gamma^0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d\gamma_k(t) &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \pm e^{in_j t} \right) d\gamma^0(t) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \pm e^{in_j t} \right) d\gamma'(t) \\ &= d\gamma_k^0(t) + d\gamma'_k(t). \end{aligned}$$

Because of the gaps between the integers n_1, \dots, n_k , every Fourier-Stieltjes coefficient of $d\gamma_k^0$ is a coefficient of $d\gamma^0$ (up to sign) and each coefficient of $d\gamma^0$ appears (up to sign) precisely k times in the sequence $\{\hat{\gamma}_k^0(n)\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}$. Therefore $\|\hat{\gamma}_k^0\|_{l^q} \leq k^{1/q} \|\hat{\gamma}^0\|_{l^q}$. On the other hand

$$\|\hat{\gamma}'_k\|_{l^q} \leq k \|\hat{\gamma}'\|_{l^q} \leq k^{1/q} \|\hat{\gamma}\|_{l^q},$$

by (5). The conclusion follows. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Pick $\mu \neq 0$ from $M_q(E)$. We will exhibit a sequence $\{v_k\}_{k=2}^\infty$ of (non-zero) measures in $M_q(E)$ for which

$$(6) \quad \|v_k\|_M \geq c \cdot k^{\frac{1}{q}} \cdot \|\mu\|_M,$$

and

$$(7) \quad \|\hat{\nu}_k\|_{l^q} \geq c' \cdot k^{1/q} \cdot \|\hat{\mu}\|_{l^q},$$

where the constants c, c' are independent of k .

So let an integer $k \geq 2$ be given. Apply Lemma 2 to the measure μ to find an integer N so that the conclusion of that lemma holds. Choose integers n_1, \dots, n_k in such a way that $|n_i - n_j| > 2N$ if $i \neq j$. Then, for every choice of \pm , the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of the random measure

$$d\nu_k(t) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \pm e^{in_j t} \right) d\mu(t)$$

satisfies (7). By Lemma 1, the expected value of the total variation of ν_k is

$$\begin{aligned} E(\|\nu_k\|_M) &= E\left(\int_E d|\nu_k|\right) \\ &= \int E(|\pm e^{in_1 t} \pm \dots \pm e^{in_k t}|) d|\mu|(t) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot k^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|\mu\|_M. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there is at least one choice of \pm for which (6) holds, with $c = 1/\sqrt{3}$. Since (7) holds for all choices, we are done. □

As we pointed out at the beginning of this section, Theorem 2 has the following corollary, which we may consider as the analogue of Helson's Theorem: If E is a Helson set and if $\mu \in M(E)$ has $\hat{\mu}(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$, then $\mu = 0$ [3].

COROLLARY. *If E is a p -Helson set, and $1 < p < 2$, then*

$$M_q(E) = \{0\}, \text{ where } q = p/(p - 1).$$

4. Necessary and sufficient conditions

It is easy to see that $\|\|\mu\|\| = \|\mu\|_M$ provided every λ in $M_q(T)$ satisfies $|\lambda|(E) = 0$. Thus, Theorem 1 shows that E is a p -Helson set whenever each λ in $M_q(T)$ has none of its mass on E . This turns out to be a necessary condition also. To see why, we first prove an extension of the corollary to Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. *If E is p -Helson, where $1 < p < 2$, then $\overline{M_q(T)} \cap M(E) = \{0\}$, the closure taking place in the total variation norm of $M(T)$.*

Note that Theorem 3 would follow from the corollary if it were true that the restriction of an M_q -measure to a closed set was still an M_q -measure. Nevertheless,

we can establish Theorem 3 using an argument similar to the one in the proof of Theorem 2, together with the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. Let μ in $M_0(T) \equiv \{\mu \in M(T) : \hat{\mu} \in c_0\}$ be given. To each $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$, there corresponds an integer $M > 0$ such that whenever n_1, \dots, n_k are integers which satisfy $|n_i - n_j| > M$ for $i \neq j$, then for every choice of \pm , the total variation of the measure

$$d\mu_k(t) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \pm e^{in_j t} \right) d\mu(t)$$

satisfies $\|\mu_k\|_M \leq B \cdot k^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|\mu\|_M$, where $B = \sqrt{2}$.

PROOF. Let μ in $M_0(T)$ be given and fix $k \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$. Find M so large that if $|m| > M$, then

$$(8) \quad \left| |\hat{\mu}(m)| \right| \leq \frac{\|\mu\|_M}{k(k-1)}.$$

This can be done because $\mu \in M_0(T)$ if and only if $|\mu| \in M_0(T)$. Now assume n_1, \dots, n_k are integers with $|n_i - n_j| > M$ when $i \neq j$. The convexity of $t^2 (t > 0)$ and Jensen's inequality [10, p. 61] show that the square of the total variation of μ_k is no larger than the total variation of μ times

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \pm e^{in_j t} \right|^2 d|\mu|(t) \\ &= \int \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \pm e^{in_j t} \right) \left(\sum_{p=1}^k \pm e^{-in_p t} \right) d|\mu|(t) \\ &= k \cdot \|\mu\|_M + \sum_{\substack{j,p=1 \\ j \neq p}}^k \pm |\hat{\mu}(n_p - n_j)| \\ &\leq k \cdot \|\mu\|_M + \|\mu\|_M; \end{aligned}$$

This last inequality is true because of (8). Hence,

$$\|\mu_k\|_M^2 \leq k \cdot \|\mu\|_M^2 + \|\mu\|_M^2,$$

and the lemma follows. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Assume that $\overline{M_q(T)} \cap M(E)$ is nonzero; say $\mu \neq 0$ is in $M(E)$ and measures $\gamma_k \in M_q(T)$ can be found with $\|\mu - \gamma_k\|_M \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to see that we may assume the Fourier-Stieltjes transforms $\hat{\gamma}_k$ satisfy

$$k^{1/q - \frac{1}{2}} \|\hat{\gamma}_k\|_{l^q} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

because $q > 2$. To show that E is not a p -Helson set, we will exhibit a sequence of nonzero measures μ_k in $M(E)$ for which $\|\mu_k\| / \|\mu_k\|_M \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and then appeal to Theorem 1.

First observe that the measure μ has $\hat{\mu} \in c_0$; this follows from the fact that each γ_k is in $M_q(T) \subset M_0(T)$ and $\|\hat{\mu} - \hat{\gamma}_k\|_{l^\infty} \leq \|\mu - \gamma_k\|_M$. Hence, $\mu - \gamma_k$ is in $M_0(T)$, for all k . Let $k \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ be fixed. By Lemma 3 (applied to the measure $\mu - \gamma_k$), there is an $M > 0$ such that whenever n_1, \dots, n_k are integers with $|n_i - n_j| > M$ for $i \neq j$, then the total variation of

$$dv_k(t) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \pm e^{in_j t} \right) d(\mu - \gamma_k)(t)$$

satisfies

$$(9) \quad \|v_k\|_M \leq B \cdot k^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|\mu - \gamma_k\|_M$$

for every choice of \pm . By Lemma 2 (applied to γ_k), there is an $N > 0$ such that whenever n_1, \dots, n_k are integers with $|n_i - n_j| > 2N$ for $i \neq j$, then the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of the measure

$$d\rho_k(t) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \pm e^{in_j t} \right) d\gamma_k(t)$$

satisfies

$$(10) \quad \|\hat{\rho}_k\|_{l^q} \leq c \cdot k^{1/q} \cdot \|\hat{\gamma}_k\|_{l^q}$$

for every choice of \pm .

Therefore, if we choose integers n_1, \dots, n_k so that $|n_i - n_j| > 2 \max\{M, N\}$ for $i \neq j$, then both (9) and (10) will hold for every choice of \pm . Let the integers n_1, \dots, n_k be determined by such a choice.

By Lemma 1, if μ_k in $M(E)$ is the random measure

$$d\mu_k(t) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \pm e^{in_j t} \right) d\mu(t)$$

then, as in the proof of Theorem 2, we have

$$E(\|\mu_k\|_M) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot k^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|\mu\|_M.$$

Therefore, there is at least one choice of \pm for which

$$(11) \quad \|\mu_k\|_M \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot k^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|\mu\|_M$$

holds. Let the measures μ_k, ρ_k (and hence ν_k) be determined by such a choice of \pm . Then, because (9), (10) and (11) hold, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\mu_k\|}{\|\mu_k\|_M} &\leq \frac{\|\mu_k - \rho_k\|_M}{\|\mu_k\|_M} + \frac{\|\hat{\rho}_k\|_{l^q}}{\|\mu_k\|_M} = \frac{\|\nu_k\|_M}{\|\mu_k\|_M} + \frac{\|\hat{\rho}_k\|_{l^q}}{\|\mu_k\|_M} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{3} \cdot B \cdot \|\mu - \gamma_k\|_M}{\|\mu\|_M} + \frac{\sqrt{3} \cdot c \cdot k^{1/q - 1/2} \|\hat{\gamma}_k\|_{l^q}}{\|\mu\|_M}, \end{aligned}$$

and both of these quantities tend to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, by Theorem 1, E is not a *p*-Helson set. □

We now gather our equivalences for a *p*-Helson set:

THEOREM 4. *Let E be a closed subset of T , $1 < p < 2$, $q = p/(p - 1)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) E is a *p*-Helson set;
- (b) there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\mu\|_M \leq \delta \cdot \|\mu\|$, for all $\mu \in M(E)$;
- (c) $\overline{M_q(T)} \cap M(E) = \{0\}$;
- (d) $|\gamma|(E) = 0$, for every $\gamma \in M_q(T)$;
- (e) $\|\mu\| = \|\mu\|_M$, for every $\mu \in M(E)$.

PROOF. Theorem 1 established the equivalence of (a) and (b). Theorem 3 showed that (b) implies (c). Since the implications (d) \rightarrow (e) \rightarrow (b) are both evident, it only remains to be shown that (c) \rightarrow (d). Suppose that $|\gamma|(E) > 0$ for some $\gamma \in M_q(T)$, and put $d\gamma_k = f_k d\gamma$, where $f_k \in A(T)$, $0 \leq f_k \leq 1$, $f_k = 1$ on E and $f_k \rightarrow \chi_E$, pointwise almost everywhere with respect to $|\gamma|$; this can be done because $A(T)$ is a normal family of functions on T [4, p. 341]. Hence,

$$\|\chi_E d\gamma - \gamma_k\|_M = \int |\chi_E - f_k| d|\gamma| \rightarrow 0.$$

This shows that the measure $\chi_E d\gamma$ belongs to the closure of $M_q(T)$; since it is nonzero and carried by the set E , we are done. □

COROLLARY. *If E_1, E_2, \dots are *p*-Helson sets, $1 < p < 2$, then so is their union, provided it is closed. In particular, the union of two *p*-Helson sets is again a *p*-Helson set.*

PROOF. If $\gamma \in M_q(T)$, then $|\gamma|$ annihilates each E_n , so it annihilates the union. By (d) of Theorem 4, the union is *p*-Helson. □

Before proceeding with some examples, we want to point out a few other simple consequences of Theorem 4. First, (d) shows that *p*-Helson sets ($1 < p < 2$) have zero Lebesgue measure. This fact seems to have been known; it appears as an

exercise in one of the standard works on trigonometric series [1, p. 359]. It also shows that not all closed sets of measure zero are p -Helson, for Kahane and Salem [5, p. 106] construct a perfect set of Hausdorff dimension α where $2/q < \alpha < 1$, which supports a nonzero measure from $M_q(T)$. Finally, we remark that Varopoulos [12] has recently established that the Corollary of Theorem 4 is also true when $p = 1$.

5. Some examples

By Theorem 4(d), a closed set E is p -Helson if and only if each λ in $M_q(T)$ has none of its mass on E . The simplest class of sets with this property are the U_0 -sets, that is to say, the closed sets E such that no nonzero measure carried by E has its Fourier-Stieltjes transform vanishing at infinity. For example, every countable set is U_0 , since a measure μ with $\hat{\mu} \in c_0$ must be continuous: $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for each x . [9, p. 118]. To see that U_0 -sets are p -Helson ($p > 1$), we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 4. *If $\mu \in M_0(T)$ then $h\mu \in M_0(T)$ for any bounded measurable function h , since h is the limit of trigonometric polynomials in $L^1(|\mu|)$.*

THEOREM 5. *If the closed set E is a U_0 -set, then E is a p -Helson set, for every $p > 1$.*

PROOF. If $\lambda \in M_q(T)$, $2 < q < \infty$, then $\chi_E d\lambda$ is in $M_0(T)$, by the lemma. Since $\chi_E d\lambda$ is carried by the U_0 -set E , it must be the zero measure, that is, $|\lambda|(E) = 0$. \square

We point out that U_0 -sets need not be Helson sets. In fact, there are plenty of countable sets that are not Helson sets; see [6, p. 32] for a very general construction.

COROLLARY. *If E is a symmetrical perfect set with constant ratio ξ , with $1/\xi$ a Pisot number, then E is a p -Helson set for every $p > 1$; furthermore, no such set is a Helson set.*

This is true because Salem and Zygmund have shown, see [5, p. 74], that such a set is U_0 . A theorem of Kahane and Salem, see [6, p. 32] shows that no symmetrical set (constant ratio or not) can be a Helson set. We point out that there do exist perfect symmetrical sets with variable ratios which are U_0 -sets, and hence p -Helson, $p > 1$.

We now want to show that there are sets E which are not U_0 , but which are still p -Helson for every $p > 1$. This will be obtained as a corollary of the following theorem.

THEOREM 6. *Let E be a closed set such that the m -fold sum $E + \dots + E$ has*

Lebesgue measure zero. Then E is a p-Helson set for every p > 1 whose conjugate exponent q satisfies q ≤ 2m, that is, for any p ≥ 2m / 2m - 1.

PROOF. Let $\gamma \in M_q(T)$, where $q \leq 2m$. Let $\nu = \chi_E d\gamma$, and consider the m -fold convolution $\nu^m = \nu * \dots * \nu$. Since ν is carried by E , ν^m is carried by the m -fold sum $E + \dots + E$, and hence is singular, by the hypothesis.

We claim that ν^m is also absolutely continuous. To see this, first observe that the m -fold convolution $\gamma^m = \gamma * \dots * \gamma$ has a square-summable Fourier-Stieltjes transform, since $2m \geq q$. Consequently, γ^m is absolutely continuous. Now the measure ν need not belong to $M_q(T)$, but it does belong to $\overline{M_q(T)}$, the closure in total variation norm. To see this, we can use an argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 4: approximate χ_E pointwise boundedly by a sequence of functions $g_n \in A(T)$, and observe that the measures $g_n d\gamma$ (which are still in $M_q(T)$) converge in total variation to $\chi_E d\gamma$. Now it is a simple matter to show that because $\chi_E d\gamma$ belongs to $\overline{M_q(T)}$, its m -fold convolution power must be absolutely continuous, since if measures ν_n converge to ν (in variation), then the m -fold convolutions ν_n^m converge to ν^m (in variation). Thus, ν^m is absolutely continuous.

Therefore, ν^m must be the zero measure. But ν^m cannot be the zero measure unless $d\nu = \chi_E d\gamma$ is the zero measure. Consequently, $|\gamma|(E) = 0$ and the proof is complete. □

COROLLARY 1. *A closed independent set E is p-Helson for every p > 1.*

PROOF. By an independent set we mean the following: If x_1, \dots, x_n are distinct points of E , and n_1, \dots, n_k are integers with $n_1 x_1 + \dots + n_k x_k = 0$, then $n_1 = \dots = n_k = 0$. To prove the corollary, it suffices to show that the Lebesgue measure of $E + \dots + E$ (any number of summands) is zero. In fact, it is an easy exercise to show, using the fact that proper measurable subgroups of T have measure zero [7, p. 8], that $G_p(E)$, the subgroup of T generated by E , has measure zero. For an even stronger result, see [2].

To complete the proof, observe that the hypothesis of Theorem 6 is satisfied for every positive integer m . □

We can now see that the converse of Theorem 5 is not true; it is well known that there exist perfect independent sets E with $M_0(E) \neq \{0\}$; see [5, p. 106].

As our final class of examples, we take certain symmetrical perfect sets with variable ratios; for a description, see [5, ch. 1].

COROLLARY 2. *If E = E(ξ_k) is the symmetrical perfect set with variable ratios*

ξ_k , and $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{mk} \xi_1 \cdots \xi_k = 0$, for some positive integer m , then E is a p -Helson set for every $p \geq 2m/2m - 1$.

It is an easy matter to see that the Lebesgue measure of $E + \cdots + E$ (m summands) is no larger than

$$m \cdot \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{mk} \xi_1 \cdots \xi_k;$$

hence Theorem 6 applies.

COROLLARY 3. *If $E = E(\xi_k)$ and $\xi_k \rightarrow 0$, then E is p -Helson for every $p > 1$.*

Indeed, the hypothesis of Corollary 2 is satisfied for every positive integer m . Corollary 3 furnishes further examples of p -Helson sets for every $p > 1$ which are not U_0 -sets, because a theorem of Kahane and Salem [5, p. 103] shows that there are many symmetrical perfect sets E with ratios tending to zero such that $M_0(E) \neq \{0\}$.

6. Dependence on p

We finally point out that the notion of a p -Helson set really does depend on p . We will use a theorem of R. Salem, together with our results.

THEOREM (Salem, [11]). *Consider all the symmetrical perfect sets $E = E(\xi_k)$ for which $a_k \leq \xi_k \leq b_k$, where $0 < a_k < b_k < \frac{1}{2}$, and where the sequences $\{a_k\}$, $\{b_k\}$ satisfy the following:*

- (i) $b_k - a_k \geq 1/\omega(k)$, where $\omega(k)$ is a positive nondecreasing sequence such that $\log \omega(k) = o(k)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (a_1 \cdots a_k)^{1/k} = \alpha > 0$;

then, there is a constant q_0 , depending only on α , such that for every $q > q_0$, the series

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\hat{\mu}(n)|^q$$

converges for almost all sets E , where $\hat{\mu}(n)$ is the n th Fourier-Stieltjes coefficient of the L -measure μ carried by E .

Let us fix an integer $m \geq 2$, and choose the sequences $\{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$ in Salem's Theorem as follows:

$$a_k = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^m, \quad b_k = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^m \text{ for all } k.$$

Then conditions (i) and (ii) of the theorem are satisfied. Therefore, almost all the sets E have $M_{q_1}(E) \neq \{0\}$ for $q_1 > q_0$. Consequently, almost all the sets E are not

p_1 -Helson for p_1 with $1 < p_1 < q_0/(q_0 - 1)$, by Theorem 4. On the other hand, Corollary 2 of Theorem 6 shows that every set E admitted in Salem's Theorem is a p_2 -Helson set, where $p_2 \geq 2m/(2m - 1)$, because, for any $E = E(\xi_k)$, the measure of the m -fold sum $E + \dots + E$ is no larger than

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2^{mk} b_1 \dots b_k = 0.$$

We should remark that we have *not* proved that given arbitrary p_1, p_2 with $1 < p_1 < p_2 < 2$, there exists a p_2 -Helson set which is not a p_1 -Helson set. However, if we take the integer m (in our application of Salem's theorem above) to be very large, we do make $p_2 - p_1$ arbitrarily small, although then both p_1, p_2 will be very close to 1.

7. Some questions

We have not been able to determine whether $M_q(E) = \{0\}$ implies E is p -Helson. This is probably false, because the restriction of an M_q measure to a closed set does not seem to be an M_q -measure.

Also, one can replace the space $A^p(T)$ by the subspace of "analytic" functions in $A^p(T)$, that is, let $A_+^p(T)$ be the collection of all functions in $A^p(T)$ whose negative Fourier coefficients vanish. Define $A_+^p(E)$ in the usual way, and call a closed set $E \subset T$ a p -Carleson set if $A_+^p(E) = C(E)$. Wik [13] showed that the Carleson sets (i.e., our 1-Carleson sets) are no different than the Helson sets. We have been unable to verify this when $1 < p < 2$, although it is easily seen to be false when $p = 2$: a 2-Carleson set must have Lebesgue measure zero, whereas every closed set is 2-Helson. On the other hand, all of our theorems concerning p -Helson sets have valid analogues for p -Carleson sets. In particular, every example of a p -Helson set that we have given, can also be shown to be a p -Carleson set, and Salem's theorem can again be used to see that p -Carleson does depend on p .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank his supervisor, Professor J. H. Neuwirth, for his assistance and encouragement. We also thank the referee for his helpful suggestions, in particular, for his simplified proof of Lemma 2.

REFERENCES

1. N. K. Bary, *A Treatise on Trigonometric Series*, Vol. 1, Macmillan, New York, 1964.
2. C. C. Graham, *Compact independent sets and Haar measure*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

3. H. Helson, *Fourier transforms on perfect sets*, *Studia Math.* **14** (1954), 209–213.
4. E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis II*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
5. J. P. Kahane R. Salem, *Ensembles Parfaits et Séries Trigonométriques*, Hermann, Paris, 1963.
6. J. P. Kahane, *Séries de Fourier absolument convergent*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik*, Band 50, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
7. Y. Katznelson, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
8. W. Rudin, *Trigonometric series with gaps*, *J. Math. Mech.* **9** (1960), 203–228.
9. W. Rudin, *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
10. W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
11. R. Salem, *On sets of multiplicity for trigonometrical series*, *Amer. J. Math.* **64** (1942), 531–538.
12. N. Th. Varopoulos, *Sur la réunion de deux ensembles de Helson*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris.* **271**, 251–253.
13. I. Wik, *On linear dependence in closed sets*, *Ark. Mat.* **4** (1960), 209–218.

THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH DAKOTA